

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CR2010-118085-001 DT

04/21/2010

COMMISSIONER BRIAN S. REES

CLERK OF THE COURT
L. Diggs
Deputy

STATE OF ARIZONA

THOMAS MCDERMOTT
LEONARDO L RUIZ

v.

MICHAEL JOHN JAKSCHT (001)

CHUCK WHITEHEAD

Custody Status: Jail/\$1,000,000 Bond + PSA with
Drug, Alcohol, Electronic Monitoring and House
Arrest

PRETRIAL SERVICES AGENCY-CCC
VICTIM SERVICES DIV-CA-CCC

NOT GUILTY ARRAIGNMENT

9:51 a.m.

Courtroom ECB 813

State's Attorney:	Jo Ann Sakato for Leonard Ruiz
Defendant's Attorney:	Chuck Whitehead
Defendant:	Present

A record of the proceedings is made by audio and/or videotape in lieu of a court reporter.

Defense Counsel waives formal reading of the charge(s).

Let the record reflect that the Defendant enters a plea of not guilty to all charges.

In preparation for the Initial Pretrial Conference (IPTC), the parties shall do the following:

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CR2010-118085-001 DT

04/21/2010

1. The defense attorney shall conduct a conflicts check within the office to determine whether a conflict exists. If a conflict exists, counsel shall staff the conflict with the appropriate supervisor, and counsel shall file the appropriate Motion to Withdraw so new counsel can appear at the Initial Pretrial Conference.

2. Motions to Modify Release Conditions shall be heard at the Initial Pretrial Conference. Motions shall be filed with the assigned Commissioner not later than 10 days prior to the Initial Pretrial Conference.

3. If a plea agreement is extended by the State, the State shall extend the plea not later than 10 days before the Initial Pretrial Conference. Defense Counsel shall make reasonable efforts to present the plea to in custody defendants before the Initial Pretrial Conference.

4. Motion for Rule 11 Evaluations shall be heard at the Initial Pretrial Conference. Motions shall be filed with the assigned Commissioner not less than 10 days before the Initial Pretrial Conference.

5. Defense Counsel shall prepare and file a List of Specific Items of Discovery required under Rule 15.1 (b), but which were not disclosed. See Rule 15.2(e). Such list shall be filed with the assigned Commissioner not less than 5 days before the Initial Pretrial Conference.

6. All electronic media (audio tapes, CD's, etc.) or documents which require language translation shall be submitted to the Court Interpretation and Translation Department (CITS) on or before the IPTC hearing date.

ANY MOTION TO MODIFY RELEASE CONDITIONS, OR RULE 11 MOTIONS NOT FILED BEFORE THE INITIAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE WILL BE HEARD AT THE COMPREHENSIVE PRETRIAL CONFERENCE BEFORE THE DESIGNATED MASTER CALENDAR JUDICIAL OFFICER. ALL MOTIONS SHALL BE IN WRITING WITH SPECIFIC FACTS TO SUPPORT THE MOTIONS.

This case is assigned to Master Calendar.

IT IS ORDERED setting Initial Pretrial Conference for June 3, 2010, at 8:15 a.m. before Commissioner Lynch.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED affirming prior release conditions.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CR2010-118085-001 DT

04/21/2010

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED the Defendant submit to DNA testing within 5 days of release from custody at the arresting agency. Failure to comply with this order can result in the defendant's release being revoked.

LET THE RECORD REFLECT the presence of some of the alleged victims and the Victim's Advocate representative.

LET THE RECORD FURTHER REFLECT that the alleged victims have been given the next court date and have been fully advised but do not wish to address the Court.

LET THE RECORD FURTHER REFLECT that the alleged victims are opposed to any reduction in bond.

IT IS ORDERED that the Defendant shall contact and meet with his/her attorney in person no later than three weeks from this date, for the purpose of preparing for the Initial Pretrial Conference.

NOTICE TO DEFENDANTS:

Failure to comply with the above orders may result in revocation of Defendant's release from custody and/or the imposition of other sanctions.

The Defendant may be tried in his/her absence if he/she fails to appear for trial.

LAST DAY: 09/18/2010.

THE COURT FINDS that the Defendant is not required to contribute any sum toward reimbursement for legal services provided by appointed counsel.

9:53 a.m. Matter concludes.